

Content Review

(Diamond-Dybvig Model)

Diamond-Dybvig Model

Assumptions

- ▶ Time begins at 0. Two-period model.
 - ▶ Period 0. Funds transferred from savers to investors. Investment takes place.
 - ▶ Period 1. Liquidity shock is realized. Impatient savers want to consume immediately.
 - ▶ Period 2. Investment comes to fruition. Profits are distributed.
- ▶ Savers have 1 unit of funds. Savers realize whether they are *patient* or *impatient* after liquidity shock.
 - ▶ Utility depends on saver type

$$U(c_1, c_2) = \begin{cases} u(c_1) & \text{if impatient} \\ \beta u(c_1 + c_2) & \text{if patient} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Utility is strictly concave \Leftrightarrow strictly increasing $u'(c) > 0$ but at a decreasing rate $u''(c) < 0$.



Diamond-Dybvig Model

Assumptions

- ▶ $\beta < 1 \implies$ agents prefer early consumption.
- ▶ $R\beta > 1 \implies$ but patient individuals are willing to invest.
 - ▶ PDV of investment $>$ PDV of immediate consumption.
 - ▶ $R\beta > 1 \implies \beta u(R) > u(\beta R) > u(1)$ since utility is strictly concave.
- ▶ θ is the probability that a saver is impatient.
- ▶ Key Mechanism:
 - ▶ Project requires 1 unit of money to start, two periods to complete.
 - ▶ If completed, payoff is R . Option to cancel project in period 1 and return investment.
 - ▶ Investments less liquid than savings, creates a liquidity mismatch.



Diamond-Dybvig Model

No Banks

- ▶ Period 0. Everyone invests in a project.
- ▶ Period 1. Liquidity shock realized. θ fraction of agents are impatient, cancel project, get their investment back and consume $c_1 = 1$.
- ▶ Period 2. Patient agents get payoff and consume $c_2 = R$.
- ▶ Expected utility of agents $= \theta \cdot u(1) + (1 - \theta) \cdot \beta u(R)$.



Diamond-Dybvig Model

Social Planner Problem

Social planner wants to maximize expected utility of agents given a budget constraint.

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{c_1, c_2} \quad & \theta \cdot u(c_1) + (1 - \theta) \cdot \beta u(c_2) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad (1 - \theta)c_2 \leq (1 - \theta c_1) \cdot R \\ \implies L = & \theta \cdot u(c_1) + (1 - \theta) \cdot \beta u(c_2) + \lambda((1 - \theta c_1) \cdot R - (1 - \theta)c_2) \\ \implies \frac{\partial L}{\partial c_1} = & \theta u'(c_1) - \lambda \theta R = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lambda = \frac{u'(c_1)}{R} \\ \implies \frac{\partial L}{\partial c_2} = & \beta(1 - \theta)u'(c_2) - \lambda(1 - \theta) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \beta u'(c_2) = \lambda \Leftrightarrow \beta R u'(c_2) = u'(c_1). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\beta R > 1 \implies u'(c_1) > u'(c_2) \Leftrightarrow c_1^* < c_2^*$.

Moreover, $1 < c_1^* < c_2^* < R$ because $u(\cdot)$ is strictly concave.